

Polar

Geophysical Institute

DAYTIME N_mF2 ANOMALOUS DEPENDENCE FROM SOLAR ACTIVITY IN THE MIDDLE AND SUBAURORAL LATITUDES IN JANUARY 2012–2015

M. Klimenko¹, N. Korenkova¹, V. Leshchenko¹, A. Markov², A. Abdullaev², K.G. Ratovsky³, A. Vesnin³

¹West Department of Pushkov IZMIRAN RAS, 41, Pobedy Av., Kaliningrad, 236017, Russia ²Immanuel Kant Baltic Federal University, Kaliningrad, Russia ³Institute of Solar-Terrestrial Physics SB RAS, Irkutsk, Russia *e-mail: maksim.klimenko@mail.ru*

Abstract. This paper presents the investigation results of the dependence of the January daytime ionospheric *F*2 layer peak electron density (N_mF2) from solar activity ($\langle F_{10,7}\rangle_{27}$) for 2012–2015. The ground-based ionosonde data depict following paradox: the daytime N_mF2 values in January of 2014 were less than those in January of 2015, whereas $\langle F_{10,7}\rangle_{27}$ values in 2014 were greater than in those 2015. Constructing linear regressions for different data sets and analyzing the geomagnetic activity behavior we made a preliminary conclusion about a positive impact of geomagnetic activity on the January daytime N_mF2 values.

Introduction

The F2 layer peak electron density (N_mF2) is larger for higher solar activity and is proportional to sunspots number and the $F_{10,7}$ index (*Bryunelli and Namgaladze*, 1988). Solar activity dependence of ionospheric F region parameters

Year	<f10.7>27</f10.7>	<f10.7>₈₁</f10.7>	<ap>27</ap>	<ap>81</ap>
2012	128	124	7.3	6.5
2013	122	110	5.4	4.7
2014	149	154	4.7	6.8
2015	132	139	9.3	10.2

diurnal and seasonal variations in the East-Siberian region is investigated in details by *Ratovsky et al.* (2015).

It was shown, that the higher solar activity leads to the growth rate of the N_mF2 , which is greatest in the afternoon at winter solstice. Contrary, N_mF2 weakly depends on solar activity in the nighttime winter ionosphere which is caused by plasma flows from

Table 1. 27 and 81 averaged values of the F10.7 and Ap indexes for 19January 2012 - 2015

a plasmasphere. Lei et al. (2005) concluded that N_mF2 above Millstone Hill at 12:00 LT in winter increases linearly with increasing in solar activity proxy $F_{10.7}$ index. Hence, linear function can be used to represent the N_mF2 and proxy $F_{10.7}$ correlation. In this paper we examined N_mF2 dependence on the solar activity in January 2012–2015 at different locations.

Observation data

We analyzed the daily $F_{10.7}$ index data from the web-site *http://lasp.colorado.edu/lisird/tss/noaa_radio_flux.html* and geomagnetic activity index *Ap* from the web-site *http://wdc.kugi.kyoto-u.ac.jp/kp/index.html* for January–*February* 2012–2015.

We obtained 27-day and 81-day averaged values of solar ($\langle F_{10.7} \rangle_{27}$ and $\langle F_{10.7} \rangle_{81}$) and geomagnetic ($\langle Ap \rangle_{27}$ and $\langle Ap \rangle_{81}$) activity indices for 19 January of each year (Table 1). $\langle Ap \rangle_{27}$ and $\langle Ap \rangle_{81}$ showed that the considered periods were geomagnetically quiet. We used $\langle F_{10.7} \rangle_{27}$ to examine N_mF^2 dependence on the solar activity. We used $\langle F_{10.7} \rangle_{27}$ since it was similar to $\langle F_{10.7} \rangle_{81}$ for the considered periods (see Table 1).

In order to estimate N_mF2 diurnal variation in the middle and subauroral latitudes dependence on solar activity we used the ionosonde data of seven stations from the Space Physics Interactive Data Resource(SPIDR) (*http://spidr.ngdc.noaa.gov*). We carried out the manual processing of SPIDR data due to various technical aspects of data recording. In addition we used the N_mF2 observation data from the Irkutsk and Kaliningrad ionosondes.

Station Latitude, Longitude, degrees degrees Port Stanley -51.7 -57.8 Jeju 33.5 126.5 127.5 I-Cheon 37.1 Boulder 40.0 -105.3 41.8 12.5 Rome Pruhonice 50.0 14.6 Irkutsk 52.5 104.0 Kaliningrad 54.0 20.0 Moscow 55.5 37.3

Table 2. The list of stations

The latter were obtained from the manually scaled ionograms using

interactive ionogram scaling software, SAO Explorer [*Reinisch et al.*, 2004; *Khmyrov et al.*, 2008] in the case of the Irkutsk ionosonde and PARUS software [*Karpenko and Manaenkova*, 1996] in the case of the Kaliningrad ionosonde. The geographic coordinates of all considered stations are presented in the Table 2. We obtained 27-daily

median N_mF2 values for diurnal variations over all 9 stations for January 19 2012, 2013, 2014 and 2015. Diurnal variations in N_mF2 were obtained in terms of UT epoch and then transferred to local time (LT) diurnal variations.



Figure 1. 27 day median *NmF*2 diurnal variation on 19 January 2012 (green), 2013 (purple), 2014 (red), 2015 (blue) for all considered stations.

Data analysis result

Figure 1 shows N_mF2 27-day median diurnal variations on 19 January for four years (2012–2015) over nine stations. It is evident that the solar activity has the greatest impact on the daytime N_mF2 values. Over all stations (exclude Port Stanley) the following paradox is revealed: the greatest daytime N_mF2 values observed in January of 2015 do not correspond to the maximum in $\langle F_{10.7} \rangle_{27}$ index (that observed on January 2014). As the following step, we calculated the 19 January daytime averaged (10:00–14:00 LT) N_mF2 values ($\langle N_mF2 \rangle$) for all the considered stations. On the basis of $\langle N_mF2 \rangle$ and $\langle F_{10.7} \rangle_{27}$ values in a manner similar to (*Ratovsky and Oinats*, 2011; *Ratovsky et al.*, 2015) we constructed the linear regressions for different data sets: (1) "without 2014"; (2) "without 2015"; and (3) "all years". Linear regression coefficients for all considered datasets are shown in the Table 3.

Fig. 2 shows the solar activity dependences of $\langle N_m F2 \rangle$ and results of all the obtained linear regressions. It is seen that: (1) the cases of "without 2015" and "all years" are close to each other and differ significantly from the case "without of 2014"; and (2) $\langle N_m F2 \rangle$ in 2015 has the greatest deviations from the linear regression compared to $\langle N_m F2 \rangle$ in other years in the "all years" case. Additionally, the linear regression in the "without 2014" case leads to negative (confusion) $\langle N_m F2 \rangle$ values at $\langle F_{10.7} \rangle_{27} = 70$ that is nor seen in linear regressions for the cases of "without 2015" and "all years".

All these results demonstrate that $\langle N_m F2 \rangle$ on 19 January 2015 does no fit the solar activity dependence obtained for $\langle N_m F2 \rangle$ in other years, i.e. are anomalous from solar activity dependence view point.

Analyzing Fig. 3 and Table 1, we find that the January of 2015 is characterized by the greatest geomagnetic activity compared to other years. Considering a positive deviation of $\langle N_m F2 \rangle$ in 2015 from the linear regression in the "all years" case, we may assume a positive impact of geomagnetic activity on the January daytime $N_m F2$ values.

Conclusions

In this paper we have analyzed the solar activity dependence of the 2012-2015 N_mF2 winter diurnal variations and daytime N_mF2 values for different locations. We have shown that usually the daytime N_mF2 depends linearly on solar activity. The following paradox was discovered: the daytime N_mF2 values in January of 2014 were less than those in January of 2015, although the sor activity index $\langle F_{10.7} \rangle_{27}$ in January of 2014 was more than that in January of 2015. This paradox was seen for all the considered stations (excluding Port Stanley).

M. Klimenko et al.



Figure 2. Daytime *NmF*2 dependence on the solar activity at different stations obtained by linear regression of data (presented by circles) for January 19 (1) 2012, 2013, 2015 (dotted line); (2) 2012, 2013, 2014 (dashed line); (3) all years in the consideration (solid lines).

Station	Linear regression coefficients		Linear regression coefficients		Linear regression coefficients	
	for 2012, 2013, 2015		for 2012, 2013, 2014		for 2012–2015	
	b 0	b 1	bo	b 1	b ₀	b 1
Boulder	29.074	0.306	4.228	0.038	5.333	0.034
I-Cheon	-20.889	0.239	3.006	0.046	3.992	0.043
Irkutsk	-20.318	0.237	4.905	0.035	5.724	0.031
Jeju	-11.711	0.172	1.942	0.062	2.549	0.059
Kaliningrad	-21.387	0.236	1.143	0.053	2.277	0.049
Moscow	-21.191	0.236	1.423	0.053	2.454	0.049
Port Stanley	15.742	-0.058	4.878	0.028	4.841	0.028
Pruhonice	-31.981	0.322	1.789	0.049	3.316	0.043
Rome	-27.076	0.284	0.024	0.064	1.279	0.060

Table 3. Linear regression coefficients for different time intervals

Constructing linear regressions for different data sets and analyzing the geomagnetic activity behavior we made a preliminary conclusion about a positive impact of geomagnetic activity on the January daytime N_mF2 values. For testing this preliminary conclusion we plan to construct double linear regression of $\langle N_mF2 \rangle$ from $\langle F_{10.7} \rangle_{27}$ and $\langle Ap \rangle_{27}$ using more years in the data sets.

Acknowledgments. Authors express the huge gratitude for use of the ionospheric observational data obtained from the SPIDR website http://spidr.ngdc.noaa.gov/spidr/. The solar activity index was obtained from the University of Colorado Boulder website http://lasp.colorado.edu/lisird/tss/noaa_radio_flux.html. The geomagnetic indices were obtained from the website http://wdc.kugi.kyoto-u.ac.jp/. This investigations were performed with financial support of the Russian Foundation for Basic Research Grants No. 14-05-00578 and 15-35-20364. This work was partially

carried out within the project "Physical mechanisms of the reaction of the upper atmosphere and ionosphere on the processes in the lower atmosphere and on the Earth surface" (State task Education and Science Ministry of the Russian Federation, the competitive part of the task No 3.1127.2014/K).



Figure 3. $<F10.7>_{27} (\circ), <F10.7>_{81} (\bullet), <Ap>_{27} (\Delta), <Ap>_{81} (\blacktriangle)$ for 19 January 2012–2015.

References

Bryunelli, B.E., Namgaladze, A.A. (1988). Physics of the ionosphere. M., Nauka, 526p. (in Russian).

- Karpenko, A.L., Manaenkova, N.I. (1996). Nonlinear time series analysis of the ionospheric measurements. Geologishe Rundshau 85(1), 124–129.
- Khmyrov, G.M., Galkin, I.A., Kozlov, A.V., et al. (2008). Exploring digisonde ionogram data with SAO-X and DID Base. In: Proc. AIP Conf. Radio Sounding and Plasma Physics 974, 175–185.
- Lei, J., Liu, L., Wan, W., and Zhang, S.-R., (2005). Variations of electron density based on long-term incoherent scatter radar and ionosonde measurements over Millstone Hill. Radio Sci. 40, RS2008, doi: 10.1029/2004RS003106.
- Ratovsky, K.G., Oinats, A.V., Medvedev, A.V. (2015). Similitaries and differences between regular variations of F2-layer parameters of the polar and midlatitude ionosphere in east Siberian sector. Solar-Terrestrial Physics 1(2), 70–79.
- Ratovsky, K.G., Oinats, A.V. (2011). Local empirical model of ionospheric plasma density derived from digisonde measurements at Irkutsk. Earth Planets Space 63(4), 351–357.
- Reinisch, B.W., Galkin, I.A., Khmyrov, G., et al. (2004). Automated collection and dissemination of ionospheric data from the digisonde network. Adv. Radio Sci. 2, 241–247.
- Rishbeth, H., Müller-Wodarg, I.C.F. (1999). Vertical circulation and thermospheric composition: a modeling study. Ann. Geophysical 17, 794–805.